Inspection Date: March 29, 2013

Page 1 of 2 S/023/0058



# State of Utah DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

MICHAEL R. STYLER Executive Director JOHN R. BAZA Division Director

## Inspection Report Minerals Regulatory Program March 29, 2013

	018	31	3
Reviewed:	Y	IV	1//

Mine Name: Peat Humus	Permit Number: S0230058			
Operator Name: Bonnie Birch Jensen	Inspection Date: March 29, 2013			
Inspector(s): Wayne H. Western	Time: 10:00 –11:00 AM			
Other Participants: Chris Crockett DWR	Mine Status: Inactive			
Weather Conditions: 50's mostly sunny	Permit Fees: not paid			
Bond Renewal Date: NA	Bond Amount: no bond			

	Elements of Inspection	Evaluated	Comment	Enforcement
1.	Permits, Revisions, Transfer, Bonds			
2.	Public Safety (shafts, adits, trash, signs, highwalls)			
3.	Protection of Drainages / Erosion Control			
4.	Deleterious Material		$\boxtimes$	
5.	Roads (maintenance, surfacing, dust control, safety)			
6.	Concurrent Reclamation			
7.	Backfilling/Grading (trenches, pits, roads, highwalls, shafts, drill holes)			
8.	Water Impoundments			
9.	Soils			
10.	. Re-vegetation			
11.	. Air Quality			
12.	. Other	$\boxtimes$		

### **Purpose of Inspection:**

This inspection was conducted jointly with Chris Crockett of Wildlife Resources.

#### **Inspection Summary:**

During the inspection the fuel tank on the barge was inspected by removing the cap. There was a smell of petroleum product when vented. A liquid was seen in the bottom of the tank. The main concern is what could happen to the petroleum product in the future.

A battery was also on the barge. In addition there is a bucket of with unknown fluid in it. While things appeared stable there is the long range concern about what could happen to the petroleum products and battery acid.

There are two fuel tanks on the ground. Using the thump test the tanks appear to be empty.

There a dragline on the site. On the right rear track there appears to be an oil stain. There is also a bucket with unknown fluid.

Inspection Date: March 29, 2013

Page 2 of 2 S/023/0058

### **Conclusions and Recommendations:**

There is no bond on the site, the Permittee did not respond to the Cessation Order.

Theyer wate

The Division should make a decision about doing the reclamation work on the site. The main concerns are removing fluids and other potential pollutants from the site.

Inspector's Signature

WHW

cc: (OPERATOR)

O:\M023-Juab\S0230058-JensenPeat\inspection\Insp-03292013.doc